



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/024,885 02/17/1998 DAVID ROTH T1680CIP2 8884

7590 04/07/2003

Marc C Van Ness EXAMINER

Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman 12400 Wilshire Blvd Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024 EXAMINER

ABRAMS, NEIL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2839

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/024885	Applicant(s)	
	Examiner N. Abra	Constant And Limit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence ac	ldress —
Period for Reply	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply find the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered the mailing date of this communic become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6	dered timely. ation. 133).
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 -10	-03		
This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is c	losed in
Disposition of Claims	12.2	8	
Claim(s) 80-85, 94, 117-128,			
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.
□ Claim(s) 80-85, 94, 117-128,	12 2 12	is/are allowed.	
· ·			
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction	or election
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved [requirement disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec)	-
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents I			
in this national stage application from the International E	•	•	
*Certified copies not received:			<u> </u>
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 Int	rview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ No	otice of Informal Patent Applica	tion, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948	□ Ot	h r	
Office Acti n Summary			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ____39

Application/Control Number: 09/024,885

Art Unit: 2839

The terminal disclaimer filed on March 10, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,164,989 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

In last amendment, see page 4, should claim 127 have been included or should it have been canceled?

Claim 127 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 127 is inconsistent with parent claim 124 and also improperly repeats claim 124 limitations.

Claims 80-85, 94, 117-128 and 133-138 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldous 404 in view of Siemon, Hardesty, Clark, Ingalsbe and Research Disclosure (RD).

See last office action. For claim 124, obvious to use Aldous, fig. 8 card with RD adapter formed with a receiver having a movable bottom in view of Aldous, fig. 20 at 160. This would enable a smaller adapter to be used.

Applicant's arguments filed with the last amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The arguments appear directed to the Aldous, fig. 10 therefor are not persuasive since the rejection is relying on the figs. 7, 8 cards applied together with the secondary references. No

Application/Control Number: 09/024,885

Art Unit: 2839

arguments refer to these embodiments or to the claim 124 device with a retractable bottom.

Claims 119-121 relate to use of known devices and do not appear to be at issue.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to N. Abrams at

telephone number 308-1729.

Abrams/ek

04/03/03

ART UNIT 322

Page 3